

UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3) CIAAct  
(b)(6)(b)(6) **Glenn Carle**  
[Redacted]To [Redacted]  
cc [Redacted]  
bcc [Redacted]

03/16/2010 09:35 AM

Subject [AIN] RE: Victims of Delusion Manuscript - [Link to Attachment(s)]

(b)(6) [Redacted]  
Please respond to  
Glenn Carle  
[Redacted]

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)  
NatSecAct(b)(3) CIAAct  
(b)(6)

I'm sorry that there is some technical glitch. I have retransmitted my previous email. I verified that the manuscript had attached successfully before sending it a moment ago. I am also attaching the document to this email. The two attachments are identical.

Let me know if it transmits successfully this time.

-- Glenn

(b)(3) CIAAct  
(b)(6)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 16, 2010 8:37 AM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Victims of Delusion Manuscript

(b)(3) CIAAct  
(b)(6)

Glenn, we received the below response on your latest manuscript, however, we did not see a copy of the actual manuscript. The attachment included only the pages where issues remain or where you propose alternative text. We need the actual manuscript showing the changes. Let me know if I missed something.

Thanks,

(b)(3) CIAAct  
(b)(6)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(6)

**From:** Glenn Carle [redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 15, 2010 2:01 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct  
**Cc:** [redacted] (b)(6)  
**Subject:** Victims of Delusion Manuscript  
**Importance:** High

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct  
(b)(6) (b)(5)

Thank you for your help on the phone today, and last week, and thanks by extension to your colleagues, too. I have made the changes we discussed [redacted] to the best of my ability. I think those two points should now pose no problem. I have also written several of the other outstanding sections, [redacted] with your guidance in mind. I [redacted] written them now so that [redacted] (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(5)

(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(5) I believe my responses to the outstanding redaction sections should be straightforward and pose no significant issues for you. I have accepted almost all of your redactions, and propose what I hope is (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(5) controversial language for those where I try to re-write the redacted sections. Almost all consist of a [redacted] My objective, as I mentioned on the phone, is to find text you accept, to be done now. I am determined not to have this go another round. I want to find text you accept and be done.

Attached is the revised manuscript Victims of Delusion. I hope that you will agree that it is all straightforward and acceptable. That has been my goal. I hope, too, that you can turn it around quickly, as I really must finish this, so that we all can move on to the next issues in our respective lives.

Many thanks for your help. I look forward to your prompt response—and to being done. I hope that we will be able to resolve any outstanding points on the phone, in a short conversation or two. There is so little remaining now.

Sincerely,

Glenn Carle

P.S. I attach also a list of every page where a redaction response occurs. There are no others.

(b)(3) NatSecAct

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3) NatSecAct



UNCLASSIFIED